

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/980,494	C	03/22/2002	Tor Johansson	FI991280/ AH	5645
466	7590	04/04/2005		EXAM	INER
YOUNG &	<b>THOMP</b>	SON	BECKER, DREW E		
745 SOUTH 23RD STREET 2ND FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON, VA 22202				1761	
				DATE MAILED: 04/04/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/980,494	JOHANSSON, TOR					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Drew E Becker	1761					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of the vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become vill expire SIX (6) where vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become vill application to be villated to be villate	a reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Fe	ebruary 2005.						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
,—	) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25-44</u> is/are pending in the application	4) Claim(s) <u>25-44</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 36-44 is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>36-44</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25-35</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	رام داد ا	Summany (PTO 412)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  S. Patent and Trademark Office	5)  Notice of 6)  Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/980,494 Page 2

Art Unit: 1761

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims 36-44 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in the response of August 23, 2004. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

### Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-10 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-15 of copending Application No. 10/450,781. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the claimed casing of 10/450,781 was also "breathable" since it was also made from polyether chains.

Application/Control Number: 09/980,494 Page 3

Art Unit: 1761

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 5. Claims 32-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 6. Claim 34 recites "it". It is not clear what "it" is.
- 7. Claim 32 recites "other gases". It is not clear what gases would be considered "other".
- 8. Claim 35 recites "salami-type sausage". It is not clear what kinds of sausage would qualify as being considered "salami-type".
- 9. Claims 33 and 35 recite the limitation "the dry sausage". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/980,494

Art Unit: 1761

11. Claims 25-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frey et al [Pat. No. 5,840,807] in view of Ramesh [Pat. No. 5,843,502].

Frey et al teach a casing comprising a thermoplastic polymer with polyether chains (2, line 42), a MVTR of 2,000-20,000 g/m<sup>2</sup>/24hr (column 6, Table 1), polyamide and polyether blocks (abstract), Nylon-12 (column 2, line 16), polyethylene glycol (column 2, line 41), the polyamide having a molar mass of 300-15,000 (column 2, line 16), the polyether having a molar mass of 100-6,000 (column 2, line 20), the casing being permeable to smoke, CO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, and other gases (column 1, lines 40-45), the casing being impermeable to microbes (column 1, lines 50), the casing inherently being smokeable, the casing inherently being resistant to deterioration by cellulolytic enzymes, and the casing inherently being curvable. Frey et al do not recite a tubular form (claim 25) or the food being sausage (claim 35). Ramesh teaches a tubular sausage casing (Figure 9; abstract) made from polyether and polyamide (column 3, lines 46-60). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the film of Frey et al as a tubular sausage casing, in view of Ramesh, since both are directed to food packaging films, since Frey et al already teach packaging of meat products (column 5, line 16) and the use of polyamides and polyethers (abstract), and since food packaging films made from polyether and polyamide were commonly use as tubular sausage casings as shown by Ramesh (Figure 9).

Art Unit: 1761

## Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 25-35 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

13. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Drew E Becker whose telephone number is 571-272-1396. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thur. 8am-6pm.

Art Unit: 1761

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Drew E Becker Primary Examiner Art Unit 1761 DREW BECKER PRIMARY EXAMI

3-3/05